UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,586	09/04/2003	Yu-Lien Huang	67,200-1133	7926
TUNG & ASSO	7590 01/02/200 OCIATES	EXAMINER		
Suite 120		LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT		
838 W. Long Lake Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/656,586	HUANG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jeffrie R. Lund	1792		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 21-36 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 21-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,586 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 21-28, and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masuda et al, US Patent Application Publication 2002/0000197, in view of Schriever, US Patent 4,085,661, and Ohta, US Patent 4,526,132.

Masuda et al teaches a vapor deposition processing apparatus 1 that includes a process chamber 16 having a vertical chamber wall defining a chamber interior 14, a showerhead 12 having a lateral surface engaging the chamber wall provided in the process chamber. (Figures)

Masuda et al differs from the present invention in that Masuda et al does not

teach that: the showerhead is held by a plurality of lateral fasteners extending through the chamber wall, each fastener includes a fastener head and threaded shank, and the fasteners structurally isolated from the chamber interior.

Schriever teaches a cylinder wall 3 which forms a chamber, a plurality of lateral fasteners 8 extending through the cylinder wall 3 and attaching an arcuate locking segments 4. Each fastener includes a fastener head and threaded shank, and the fasteners are structurally isolated from the chamber interior.

Ohta teaches the use of lateral screws 52 to attach a gas source 37 to a chamber wall 30. (Figure 2 and 3)

The motivation for attaching the showerhead of Masuda et al with the lateral screws of Schriever is to provide a method of mounting the showerhead of Masuda et al (i.e. an interior part having a lateral surface engaging the chamber wall) as required by Masuda et al but not described and as taught by Ohta. Furthermore, it has been held that applying a known technique to a known device ready for improvement to yield predictable results is obvious (see *KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the showerhead of Masuda et al using a plurality of lateral fasteners as taught by Schriever and Ohta.

4. Claims 3, 5, 29, 30, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masuda et al, Schriever, and Ohta, as applied to claims 1, 2, 21-28, and 31-34 above, and further in view of Lilleland et al, US Patent 6,073,577.

Masuda et al, Schriever, and Ohta differ from the present invention in that they

do not teach a gas mixing plate and confinement ring.

Lilleland et al teaches an apparatus that includes: a showerhead 14 with a gas mix plate 22; and a confinement ring 17. (Figure 1, column 2 line 22 through column 3 line 14)

The motivation for adding a mixing plate and confinement ring of Lilleland et al to the apparatus of Masuda et al, Schriever, and Ohta is to more uniformly distribute the processing gas.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the gas mixing plate and confinement ring of Lilleland et al to the apparatus of Masuda et al, Schriever, and Ohta.

Response to Arguments

- 5. The Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 24, and 31 have overcome the rejections of record.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5, and 21-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art all teaches using screws or bolts to attach elements inside a chamber by passing the screw or bolt through the chamber wall and entering the item to be attached.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrie R. Lund whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/656,586 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

1437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (10:00 am - 9:00

pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jeffrie R. Lund/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1792

JRL 40/2

12/31/08